

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,254	01/04/2002	Thierry Valet	59559-8017,US01	9462
22918 PERKINS CO	7590 07/03/200 IE LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 120	8	PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/040,254	VALET, THIERRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeff Piziali	2629		
	10/040,254 Examiner	10/040,254 VALET, THIERRY Examiner Art Unit	

	Jeff Piziali	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of these for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains the containing of the containin</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.7-10.13-15 and 22-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-18.20 and 21.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Jeff Piziali/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

The Applicant is thanked for the Amendment (filed 25 June 2008).

However, if entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendment(s) in the Amendment (filed 25 June 2008) would newly introduce at least the limitations:

"A movement sensing means for sensing movements of the device in more than one plane of motion wherein the movement sensing means contains a single accelerometer chip, having one force sensitive axis, mounted at a non-perpendicular angle with respect to the circuit board" to independent claim 1.

"A circuit board; and a single accelerometer mounted to the circuit board at non-perpendicular angles with respect to each of X, Y, and Z axes; wherein the single accelerometer senses motion in X, Y, and Z directions" to independent claim 22.

"Providing a circuit board; and mounting a single accelerometer chip on the circuit board at an angle, said accelerometer chip having one force sensitive axis; wherein said angle is acute; wherein the accelerometer chip senses movements in more than one plane of motion" to independent claim 24.

Such limitations (along with newly introduced limitations to at least dependent claims 3-5, 7-9, and 23) if incorporated into present claim language would dramtically alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration. Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed 25 June 2008) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 27 June 2008